CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name: Mid Rivers Telephone Land Use License Easement Conversions Phase 1

Proposed

Implementation Date: 2014

Proponent: Mid Rivers Telephone Coop

Location: T26N-R52E-Sec 36, T20N-R55E-Sec 1, T21N-R55E-Sec 34, T6N-R57E-Sec 36

T11N-R51E-Sec 27, T12N-R52E-Sec 31, T18N-R49E-Sec 16, T19N-R48E-Sec 36

County: Richland, Dawson, Fallon, Prairie, and McCone Counties

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

Mid-Rivers Telephone has requested a set of right of way easements from the DNRC Eastern Land Office. This request was made to convert lines that had been permitted in the past under existing Land Use Licenses to permanent right of way easements. No disturbance or construction will occur with this conversion. Construction has already occurred previously and all sites have recovered.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

Mid Rivers Telephone has submitted applications for right of way easements to the DNRC Eastern Land Office. These easements are to convert the usage from temporary land use licenses to permanent easements. The line installed is a fiber optic cable. The total length of the requested easement will be approximately 28,059 feet in length with a requested width of 16 feet. The total acreage requested is 10.31 acres.

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

None

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Alternative A- Issue easements for existing fiber optic lines held under land use licenses.

Alternative B- No Action.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

Alternative A- No impact expected Alternative B-No Impact

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

Alternative A- No impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

Alternative A- No impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

Alternative A- No impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

Alternative A- No impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

Alternative A- No impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

Alternative A- No impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

None

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

Alternative A- No impacts expected

Alternative B- No impacts expected

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

Alternative A- No impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services

Alternative A- No Impact expected

Alternative B- No Impact

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:

Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing.

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:

Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:

How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:

Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.

Alternative A- This will provide income for the trust in the form of the purchase of a right of way easement in place of a temporary land use license. The cost of these 8 easements will be set at \$3093.00 or \$300.00 per acre.

| Alternative B- No Impact | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|--------|---------------------|-------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | EA Checklist Prepared By: | Name: | Scott Aye | Date: | 3-12-2014 | | | | | |
| | | Title: | Land Use Specialist | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| V. FINDING | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Δltc | Alternative A | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:

The granting of the requested easements upon state owned trust lands for the proposed fiber optic phone/communication line conversion from land use licenses to right of way easements should not result in nor cause significant environmental impacts. The predicted environmental impacts have been identified and mitigation measures addressed in the environmental assessment checklist. The proposed action satisfies the trusts fiduciary mandate and ensures the long term productivity of the land. An environmental assessment checklist is the appropriate level of analysis for the proposed action

| che | checklist is the appropriate level of analysis for the proposed action | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | EIS | | More Detailed EA | X No Further Analysis | | | | | | | |
| | EA Checklist | Name: | Chris Pileski | | | | | | | | |
| | Approved By: | Title: | ELO Area Manager | | | | | | | | |
| | Signature: /s/ C | hris Pileski | | Date : 3-12-14 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |